

# THE TEXAS JOURNAL OF LITERACY EDUCATION



A PEER-REVIEWED JOURNAL PUBLISHED BY THE TEXAS ASSOCIATION FOR LITERACY EDUCATION  
Volume 7, Issue 2 – Winter 2019/2020



## TEXAS JOURNAL OF LITERACY EDUCATION VOLUME 7, ISSUE 2

### EDITORS



**Amy Burke** is an associate professor in the Department of Reading at Texas Woman's University. She holds a PhD from The University of Texas at Austin in Curriculum and Instruction with a specialization in Language and Literacy Studies and is a teacher consultant with the National Writing Project (Heart of Texas Writing Project). Dr. Burke regularly presents her scholarly work at conferences including the Literacy Research Association (LRA), AERA, and NCTE. Her work has been published in journals such as *Language Arts* and *Literacy Research and Instruction*.



**Connie Briggs** is professor and chair of the Department of Reading at Texas Woman's University. She has 13 years of public school experience and has worked as a reading consultant and professor of reading in Oklahoma, Kansas, and Texas. She has post-doctoral training in early literacy intervention and continues to teach at-risk children to keep current and inform her teaching of undergraduate and graduate students. Dr. Briggs is past president of the Kansas Reading Association, Reading Recovery Council of North America, North American Trainers' Group, and currently serves as the United States representative to the International Reading Recovery Trainers Organization Executive Board of Directors and president of the Association of Literacy Educators and Researchers organization. She holds a Ph.D. in Reading Education from the University of North Texas.



**Elizabeth L. (Betsy) Kaye** is an associate professor in the Department of Reading at Texas Woman's University. She has 18 years of public school experience, serving as a special education teacher, classroom teacher, reading interventionist and district literacy staff developer. She holds a Ph.D. in Reading Education and is actively involved as a Reading Recovery trainer in addition to teaching undergraduate and graduate students. Dr. Kaye regularly collaborates with teachers and district leaders to support literacy learners in elementary schools.



**Mary Amanda (Mandy) Stewart** is an associate professor in the Department of Reading at Texas Woman's University. Through the National Writing Project and the federally-funded ELLevate! grant, she regularly learns with/from multilingual high school students while supporting their teachers. She has authored two books about teaching adolescents acquiring English and leveraging their strengths.



## EDITORIAL REVIEW BOARD

Juan J. Araujo, Texas A&M University-Commerce

Sara Lisa Avrit, Quinlan ISD

Alexandra Babino, Texas A&M University-Commerce

Amy Bach, University of Texas at El Paso

Kathy Bussert-Webb, The University of Texas-Rio Grande Valley

Betty Coneway, West Texas A&M University

Annamary Consalvo, The University of Texas at Tyler

Ann D. David, University of the Incarnate Word

Maria E. Diaz, The University of Texas-Rio Grande Valley

Kathryn Dixon, Texas A&M University-Commerce

Jorge Figueroa, Texas Woman's University

Ricardo González-Carriedo, University of North Texas

Robert Griffin, University of West Georgia

Stephanie Grote-Garcia, University of the Incarnate Word

Dan Heiman, University of North Texas

Katherine Hewett, Corpus Christi ISD

Kent Layton, University of Arkansas at Little Rock

Katrena Leininger, University of Wisconsin-Oshkosh

Melanie Loewenstein, Texas A&M-Commerce

LaShera McElhany, Southwestern Assemblies of God University

Kathleen A. J. Mohr, Utah State University

Mariannella Nuñez, Texas Woman's University

Jodi Pilgrim, University of Mary Hardin-Baylor

John M. Ponder, University of West Georgia

Kelli Powell, Temple ISD

Marlyn Press, Touro College of New York

Roberta D. Raymond, University of Houston-Clear Lake

April Sanders, Spring Hill College

Anne Simpson, Texas Woman's University

Patsy Sosa-Sanchez, University of North Texas-Dallas

Laura Slay, Texas A&M-Commerce

Amanda Szwed, University of North Texas

Annette Torres Elías, Texas Woman's University

Nancy K. Votteler, Sam Houston State University

Katie Walker, Coastal Carolina University

Patricia Watson, Texas Woman's University

Melody Zoch, The University of North Carolina-Greensboro



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Invited Columns

- Page 5      ***BILITERACY IN TEXAS***  
**ADVOCATING FOR MULTILINGUALISM IN TEXAS: A DISTRICT'S VISION TO SERVE  
VIETNAMESE-SPEAKING EMERGENT BILINGUAL STUDENTS**  
IVONNE J. SOLANO
- Page 10     ***THE SHIFTING LITERACY LANDSCAPE***  
**CHARTING A COURSE IN TIMES OF CHANGE**  
ANN D. DAVID AND ANNAMARY CONSALVO

## Feature Articles

- Page 13     **TEACHING THE READING LIFE: MAKING THE INVISIBLE VISIBLE**  
MARIE HAVRAN
- Page 22     **CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS**
- Page 23     **CALL FOR REVIEWERS**



# COLUMN: BILITERACY IN TEXAS

COLUMN EDITOR: MARY AMANDA STEWART

## COLUMN INTRODUCTION

*The number of bilingual students in our schools is growing which includes many different kinds of learners, each with their unique potential and literacy needs. These multilingual learners are in various educational programs including mainstream, ESL, sheltered, bilingual, language immersion, or world language classes. Though not mutually exclusive, we might refer to them by using these categories: emergent bilinguals (students who are acquiring English as an additional language), heritage language speakers (students who speak a language of their parents in addition to English), simultaneous bilinguals (students who have grown up with more than one language), sequential bilinguals (students who are acquiring an additional language after the beginning of formal education such as in a secondary world language classroom), or even dual-language learners (students are beginning their education by receiving instruction in two languages). This myriad of classifications of bilingual students only scratches the surface of understanding this growing and complex group of global citizens. Therefore, there is a need for all literacy educators (bilingual or not) to have working knowledge of biliteracy assessment, development, and instruction in order to help all students reach their full potential. This column will be devoted to discussing relevant trends of biliteracy in the state of Texas.*

*If you would like to contribute to future columns, please contact the editor at [MStewart7@twu.edu](mailto:MStewart7@twu.edu).*

## ADVOCATING FOR MULTILINGUALISM IN TEXAS: A DISTRICT'S VISION TO SERVE VIETNAMESE-SPEAKING EMERGENT BILINGUAL STUDENTS

IVONNE J. SOLANO

**H**ome languages are often considered to be a deficit for students, and many educational programs have ignored students' first language in favor of the dominant language in society: English. Contrary to this belief, much research illustrates that instead of a deficit, a child's native language is an asset that goes beyond the linguistic domain, and is a direct and deep



connection to one's family, culture, history, and identity (González, Moll, & Amanti, 2005). In public schools across Texas, the shift from language as a problem has given space to recognize students' language as a resource, and ultimately their right (Ruiz, 1984), resulting in utilizing and viewing a student's native language as an asset to bring into the classroom.

According to the American Community Survey (2017), in Texas, more than 8 million individuals over the age of five speak a language other than English at home. The survey included more than 39 identified languages. The trend shows that the number of individuals over the age of five who speak another language at home is increasing and Spanish represents the second most popular language other than English spoken at home with Vietnamese representing the third. Because of the great linguistic diversity in Texas, the creation of programs that promote multilingualism is a priority as districts continue to move towards multilingual ideologies as an asset for emergent bilinguals in their educational trajectory.

In North Texas, Keller ISD is a district that recognized the need to start a Vietnamese-English Bilingual program in 2006. I interviewed Mara Betancourt Coker, director of the Language Acquisition Department, to learn about their program and highlight their experience at a district level. Keller ISD serves 34,999 students and has a growing number of emergent bilinguals. Indeed, 8.8% of the district's population (3,084 students) are served through the Language Acquisition Department according to the Texas Education Agency's (2019) Academic Performance Report. There is much we can learn from the Keller ISD program as evidenced in an overview of our conversation below.

## STARTING A VIETNAMESE-ENGLISH BILINGUAL PROGRAM

A native language serves as a resource for emergent bilinguals. Instead of being an obstacle to be overcome, when multilingualism is promoted and accepted in the classroom, students' academic achievement increases, as their education embraces their linguistic, cultural, and social assets. In Keller ISD, they created a Vietnamese-English Late Transitional program to serve the increasingly diverse population in the district. The first Vietnamese bilingual teacher was hired to teach in the district's first-ever Kindergarten Vietnamese-English classroom in the 2006-2007 school year. The challenge was to find a qualified teacher who spoke Vietnamese, held a teacher certification, and had a heart for kids. The Vietnamese-English Bilingual Program remained an Early Exit program for an extended time due to the hardships of finding qualified teachers. However, the program has now evolved to a Late Transitional program, as it serves students from prekindergarten to sixth grade. The community has embraced the bilingual program, as they have witnessed how utilizing their children's native language in their education has benefited their academic achievement. Betancourt Coker states: "Our teachers have done such a phenomenal job that we have parents asking if there is any chance that their younger child can benefit from [the program], just like their siblings did. To me that is the biggest testament of what a wonderful job they have done."





## STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM

From its inception, Keller ISD has prided itself in implementing the Vietnamese-English program methodically and systematically, focusing on the quality of instruction and employing teachers who wholeheartedly embrace the mission of utilizing a student's home language as a resource. The structure reflects the program that the district has adopted for the One-Way Dual Language Model for Spanish-speaking students. This model creates a language-rich environment for students; for example, in the Vietnamese-English classroom, green is the designated color for words in Vietnamese and blue for English. Because Vietnamese-English programs are only starting to emerge, resources that can be utilized as tools for the instruction of Vietnamese are not readily available. Even though the difficulty of finding materials in Vietnamese presents a challenge, the vision and mission of Keller ISD to provide an effective bilingual program remain a priority.

The mission of Keller ISD's Language Acquisition Department is to support the three pillars of dual-language programs: high academic achievement, development of bilingualism and biliteracy in both languages, and sociocultural competence. The goal of the program is for the student to acquire English, "but also [we are] trying to keep their bilingualism and their biculturalism alive," said Betancourt Coker.

## LESSONS FROM KELLER ISD

School districts in Texas have the impetus to embrace asset-based bilingual programs that are strongly supported by the benefits of bilingualism and the need to provide equitable educational opportunities for all students. In Keller ISD, the goal to serve the influx of students who would benefit from Vietnamese instruction began in meetings that set forth a clear, purposeful direction to begin such a program. Cross-departmental collaboration has been pivotal in providing teachers, students, and the community a clear mission of the program, the approach, and the importance of educating the child holistically. Teachers also receive continuous training and opportunities for their professional growth that allow them to have a well-defined approach in the implementation of bilingual programs. Yet the responsibility of fulfilling the goals of bilingual education does not only fall on the bilingual teachers, but it is the responsibility of ALL teachers to promote and advocate for emergent bilingual students. Betancourt Coker reminds us of the mission for ALL educators: "That's my plea for all educators that are not bilingual. Just tell your families it is okay. You should be reading to your child in your language, and you should be using your language at home."

Keller ISD has identified a need for their students and community and has opened the door not only for the acquisition of English, but the opportunity for their student body to learn about other cultures and celebrate diversity. Furthermore, it has integrated and promoted a primary goal of sociocultural competence for all students, teachers, and the community at large.

Keller ISD's Vietnamese-English program has set the precedent of future asset-based bilingual programs will require in the future. The state of Texas is rich in multilingualism and this is



represented in our school systems, creating the impetus to provide the best educational opportunities for all students through bilingual education. The need for embracing bilingual programs beyond Spanish will be the norm. In Keller ISD, conversations have started as the community diversifies. Serving students whose home language is Nepali has them thinking about the need for a Nepali-English program.

## TOWARD MULTILINGUALISM IN TEXAS

We are shifting from a bilingual to a multilingual approach to serving emergent bilingual students in Texas. School districts need to identify the growing linguistic diversity in the community and provide opportunities for emergent bilinguals who would benefit from the creation of asset-based dual language programs that embrace all languages. These programs strengthen our multilingual communities. Betancourt Coker reminds us that the daily work of advocating for emergent bilinguals' rights to their home language in our school system is an obligation for all educators. We need to work against deficit language ideologies and recognize the bravery in the journey towards bilingualism and biliteracy of emergent bilingual students in Texas.

## REFERENCES

- González, N., Moll, L., & Amanti, C. (2005). *Funds of knowledge: Theorizing practices in households, communities, and classrooms*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Ruíz, R. (1984). Orientations in language planning. *NABE: The Journal for the National Association for Bilingual Education*, 8(2), 15-34.
- Texas Education Agency (2019). Texas Academic Performance Report. Retrieved from [https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/cgi/sas/broker?service=marykay&year4=2019&year2=19&debug=0&single=N&batch=N&app=PUBLIC&title=2019+Texas+Academic+Performance+Reports&program=perfppt.perfmast.sas&ptype=H&paper=N&level=district&search=district&namenum=Keller+ISD&district=220907&prgopt=2019%2Ftapr%2Fpaper\\_tapr.sas](https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/cgi/sas/broker?service=marykay&year4=2019&year2=19&debug=0&single=N&batch=N&app=PUBLIC&title=2019+Texas+Academic+Performance+Reports&program=perfppt.perfmast.sas&ptype=H&paper=N&level=district&search=district&namenum=Keller+ISD&district=220907&prgopt=2019%2Ftapr%2Fpaper_tapr.sas)
- U.S. Census Bureau. (2017). *2013-2017 American Community Survey 3-year Public Use Microdata Samples* [SAS data file]. Retrieved from [https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS\\_17\\_1YR\\_B16001&prodType=tab](https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_17_1YR_B16001&prodType=tab)

## ABOUT THE AUTHOR



**Ivonne J. Solano** is a fourth-grade dual language teacher in a two-way dual language program in Denton ISD. She teaches English Language Arts and Spanish Language Arts. She is an advocate for culturally sustaining pedagogies to create equitable dual language programs for all emergent bilingual students. She is pursuing her doctorate in Reading Education with an emphasis in bilingualism and biliteracy through her participation in Project PIONERAS grant (Professional Improvement through Optimization of Native-language Education and the Realization of Academic/familial Symbiosis) at





Texas Woman's University. Her research interests center on critical issues in the educational trajectories of Latinx students in the P-16 pipeline, implementation of two-way dual language programs, translanguaging, critical literacies, and language ideologies. Her passion for education and advocacy efforts stems from her own experiences as a first-generation, emergent bilingual student growing up at the Juarez/El Paso border.



# COLUMN: THE SHIFTING LITERACY LANDSCAPE

---

COLUMN EDITORS: ANN D. DAVID AND ANNAMARY L. CONSALVO

## COLUMN INTRODUCTION

---

*Literacy policy, testing, and regulations are changing in the State of Texas. Whether it is HB 3, the Science of Teaching Reading, the new ELA TEKS, or the yet-to-be-designed ELA STAAR test, the pathways beneath our feet are uncharted. But one of the best ways to wayfind is to work together, each person seeing a small piece of the whole landscape, sharing what we know with one another, and making informed, thoughtful decisions based on what we know and learn. Things will keep changing, even as we strive to implement the change before us, but together we will find our way.*

---

## CHARTING A COURSE FOR CHANGE

Welcome to the newest column for the *Texas Journal of Literacy Education*. This column provides a forum for contributing to necessary conversations teachers and literacy specialists across the state are having when it comes to shifting standards, shifting assessments, shifting policies, and shifting legislation.

### WHO WE ARE

Now in her sixth year as a professor, Ann began her teaching career as a high school English and drama teacher in a medium-sized Rust Belt city. She taught in three very different schools in two Midwestern states for six years before decamping for graduate study at The University of Texas at Austin. Ann now spends her time teaching future elementary and secondary teachers, across a range of disciplines. She also works as a National Writing Project (NWP) teacher-consultant for school districts, supporting classroom teachers as they implement high-quality writing instruction.

A former middle and high school English teacher, Anna is a teacher-educator in her eighth year as a professor. Having entered graduate education at The University of Texas at Austin because of her experience in the local NWP site, her research interests include adolescent literacy, disciplinary literacy, the study of young adult literature, and the teaching of writing.



We are connected to teachers from all stages of our education careers, and we continue to build and cherish our networks. Like many other former and current teachers, we meet at conferences to share ideas; we engage in affiliation groups on social media; and we develop deep and genuine friendships with others in our communities that sustain us from year to year. It is in this spirit that we offer this column.

## HOW WE GOT HERE

We come to writing this column not as experts, though we do know things about literacy, but because our personal learning networks have been abuzz about changes affecting literacy education in Texas. Ann received a middle-of-the-day text from a teacher friend asking for research on why isolated phonics instruction wasn't the best way to support her eighth grade students who were struggling with reading. Anna heard from colleagues about new literacy policies affecting how preservice teachers get certified and the challenges that lay ahead.

Given these conversations, we figured there are similar kinds of conversations happening in other schools, districts, and universities. And in the interest of distributed cognition and the sharing economy, we wanted to offer our thoughts, and invite you to share yours, on the shifting literacy landscape in Texas.

## UPCOMING COLUMNS

The first column (Summer 2020) will be co-authored by Ann along with Peggy Semingson, an associate professor at UT-Arlington. In the column we will look back at some of the early intersections of business and literacy in Texas. Do you remember Reading First? If so, some of what we discuss will be familiar. If not, you may recognize patterns and ideas that are happening now in your schools as you're deciding on textbook adoptions, RTI programs, and other packages being offered to support literacy learning.

The focus for our second column (Winter 2020) will be about those teachers, literacy specialists, and professors who are in the position of adopting products pitched to support literacy learning. How do adoption committees sort through and make sense of the vast array of products offered by businesses?

## SEE YOU SOON!

As we embark on this new journey, we hope you'll be along for the adventures. At the end of each column we will give a brief overview of the upcoming column. Send us an email and let us know



your experiences and thoughts that can deepen our understanding and our readers' understandings of the topic. Also, if you have a topic in mind that literacy professionals in Texas need to know more about as we all chart a course, email a short synopsis of your idea to [ShiftingLiteracyLandscape@gmail.com](mailto:ShiftingLiteracyLandscape@gmail.com) and it may become inspiration for a future column.

## ABOUT THE AUTHORS



**Annamary L. Consalvo** is an associate professor of literacy at The University of Texas at Tyler where she teaches undergraduate and graduate courses in teacher education. After teaching middle and high school English, she earned her PhD in Curriculum and Instruction from The University of Texas at Austin with a focus in Language and Literacy Studies. Her research interests include youth voice and the teaching of writing, disciplinary and adolescent literacy, and aspects of Young Adult literature. Dr. Consalvo has published in *English Journal*, *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *Writers Who Care*, *Journal of Language and Literacy*, *The ALAN Review*, and *Elementary School Journal*.



**Ann D. David** is an associate professor in the Dreeben School of Education at the University of the Incarnate Word where she teaches future teachers. She taught high school English and theatre in the Midwest for six years before completing her PhD at UT Austin. She's been a teacher-consultant with the National Writing Project since 2001 and currently works with the San Antonio Writing Project. Dr. David's research interests focus on writing and the teaching of writing. Dr. David has published in *English Journal* and *Teaching and Teacher Education*, and *English in Texas* and has contributed to *Writers Who Care*, a peer-reviewed blog sponsored by NCTE's Commission on Writing Teacher Education.



# TEACHING THE READING LIFE: MAKING THE INVISIBLE VISIBLE

MARIE PUTNAM HAVRAN

## ABSTRACT

---

*Teachers can foster a love of reading in their students by regularly modeling their own reading lives. This sort of modeling involves both helping students to develop reading skills and sharing personal stories that illustrate the importance of reading in adulthood. The present study describes the impact of purposefully sharing my personal reading life with my first-grade students in a suburban elementary school. I first identified as a problem of practice in this classroom students' unawareness of my reading habits outside of school. Over a semester, I introduced my students to the books that I was currently reading and was planning to read next in a display case. The following discussion provides an overview of the creation of this reading display, its use in daily interactions with my students, and its impact on the students' attitudes toward and practice of reading.*

---

When their teachers are active readers, students are more likely to learn and display effective reading practices (Brooks, 2007). When teachers bring their experiences and passion into the classroom, they show their students what a reading life is and positively impact long-term interest in reading (Miller, 2009). Applegate and Applegate (2004) cautioned, however, that it is not enough for teachers to just simply be readers; in order to instill a love of reading in their students, they must demonstrate their own love of it. In exploring these issues, the present study builds on the work of Fountas and Pinnell (2000), who argued that being a reader means reading voluntarily, recommending books to others, knowing a range of authors and illustrators, and reflecting on what is read by making connections and thinking critically.

According to Rosenblatt's (1978) transactional theory regarding the relationship between readers and texts, the meaning of a text resides in neither the text nor the reader alone but rather comes into being through the interactions between them. During these interactions, readers choose a stance that guides their selective attention and their purpose for reading. This theory distinguished two types of stances that readers can take. The efferent stance involves a conception of reading where the purpose is gathering information. Miller (2009) explains teachers who assume an efferent stance "may never talk to their students about loving books and craving reading, but tell them instead about the need to read well to get along in school and in life" (p. 109). The aesthetic stance, by contrast, involves a conception of reading as an emotional and intellectual journey. Teachers who assume an aesthetic stance encourage their students to become absorbed in a text



and to relate the material to their own lives. Earlier scholars, such as Renouf (1990), also spoke of an aesthetic stance that nurtures and enhances readers' emotional interactions with texts. Ruddell (1995) likewise asserted that an aesthetic stance has the potential to motivate students to read, increase their attention, and aid in the formation of mental representations that enhance reading comprehension and help students to make the most of instruction.

## BECOMING AWARE

As a reader and an early childhood advocate who views reading as a gift, I seek to communicate my enthusiasm for reading in order to instill the same enthusiasm in my students. In particular, I want my students, as their reading identities expand, to develop a love of this valuable activity and engage deeply with the texts that they read. I was surprised, therefore, during a recent class when, in the midst of discussing a book, my students responded with puzzled gazes and blank stares when I shared that I had read *Wish Tree* (Applegate, 2017) over the weekend. I was surprised at their reaction because I had always assumed that my students were aware of my reading life. Breaking the silence, a student named Rey (all names are pseudonyms) raised her hand and said, "I never knew you read books at home, too. I thought that's only what kids did." Stunned, I looked to the others and asked whether they were aware that I read outside of our classroom, and another student, Kate, concurred: "I thought you only read at school. I didn't know you really liked to read."

For days following this exchange, I kept reflecting on the fact that my six- and seven-year-old students were compartmentalizing reading as an activity that mainly took place at school and was performed by children. At length, I realized that I needed to look no further than my class schedule to understand how my students could come to this conclusion. I saw that the time that I devoted to sharing my reading life was limited and, in practice, often neglected owing to other scheduling demands. Rather than authentically sharing my passion, I found that I had been repeatedly telling my students about the importance of reading and the behaviors of good readers and encouraging them to find time to read outside of school. However, I had never demonstrated for them how reading impacts my daily life and the joy that it brings me, which is why I wanted them to become life-long readers. In failing to share my own reading life, it occurred to me, I was reinforcing the adage, "Do as I say, not as I do."

These concerns have received some attention from scholars. Thus, in a yearlong study, Cremin et al. (2009) examined teachers' personal knowledge and understanding of what it means to be a reader, thereby building on research by Commeyras, Bisplinghoff, and Olson (2003) about the "reading teacher," that is, a teacher who reads and a reader who teaches. Through professional development and action research, Cremin et al. (2009) sought to develop teachers' knowledge of children's literature, their confidence in using literature in the classroom and awareness of their roles as reading teachers, and relationships among readers within and beyond school. As the teachers who participated in that study reflected on their own reading, they began to reframe their practice; thus,





by sharing their reading lives, they demonstrated to young readers the pleasure that reading can bring and formed new relationships with them through discussions of texts.

Similarly, as McCracken and McCracken (1978) explained, a teacher models reading not simply by becoming engrossed in a text but also with regard to his or her responses to a text. It is, then, important for teachers to talk about what they have read and to share ideas that they have encountered in texts. These researchers found that when teachers read passages from stories aloud to their classes to share the author's exact words, the students began to do the same thing. At the same time, they urged teachers to not require anything from children that they would not do themselves willingly and naturally—which means eliminating such activities as book reports, listing unfamiliar words, and filling out worksheets. Instead, students should talk about the pleasure of reading and the ideas that they encounter. It was also suggested in the study that children who see adults reading may not understand all that is involved, for which reason teachers should make clear what they do during and after reading, serving as models for their students.

Having reflected on my experience in the classroom and the literature on “reading teachers,” I wanted to create a classroom practice that would communicate my reading habits outside the classroom to my students. In other words, I looked for a means of positioning myself as a reading role model and sharing my life-long reading habits. I wanted to show students the joy that reading can bring in contexts other than those in which they usually see me.

## THE BOOK DISPLAY IN ACTION

In order to model my reading life and reframe my teaching practice, I decided to make my actions clear after by creating a reading display (see Figure 1). The purpose of the display was to showcase my reading life in a concrete way and so that I could serve as a more complete example for my young students. I displayed three books: 1) the book that I had most recently finished reading; 2) the book that I was currently reading, and; 3) the book that I planned to read next. My choice to construct the display in this way was informed by Miller's (2009) description of lifelong readers as individuals who nearly always are currently reading a book. The display offered a new perspective for students who had not had the opportunity to make future reading plans and may not have known where to start considering their future choices or how to narrow them down. The reading display took the form of a ledge on which I placed a copy of each book under a label reading either “Finished,” “Right Now,” or “Next.” I then used this tool in my classroom as a means to share my reading life.



**Figure 1.** Reading Display

*INTRODUCING THE READING DISPLAY.* Having established that my students were largely unaware of my reading life in the conversation previously discussed, I employed the display as a physical reading model for my students. The following account of my initial interactions with my students after they had been introduced to the display makes clear the interest that it inspired.

**Teacher:** I would like to share with you my reading list. I just finished reading *The World According to Humphrey* (Birney, 2004). As soon as I finished reading that book, I started reading *Chopsticks* (Rosenthal, 2012) because I really liked the book *Spoon* (Rosenthal, 2009), which is by the same author. Also, I already know the book that I am going to read next; it's *The First Marathon* (Reynolds, 2006). That's right, I already have my next book picked out and ready to go. I chose this book because I like to run, and I think that this book will be really interesting and teach me more about the sport that I enjoy. I have labeled each book so that you can see what I am reading, and I will change it every time I finish a book.

**Josh** (studying the ledge): Is that the book you're reading right now? You're really reading that book?

**Teacher:** Yes, I am really reading this book. I think that I will finish it tomorrow, and then I will start reading the next book. I will move the books on the ledge, and you will see which book I have chosen as my next book to read.

**Josh** (eying the next book to be read): You haven't read *The First Marathon* yet, so can I read that book now? I really want to read it before you.



**Teacher:** Of course you can. We can talk about it after we both finish reading it.

**Josh:** I just really want to read it before you because you haven't read it yet!

**Rey:** Can I look at the book you already finished reading? I think the cover looks interesting. Did you like it?

**Teacher:** I really did. When you're finished, we can talk about why I liked it, and you can share what you thought.

**Josh:** I will look tomorrow and see what the next book is. I wonder if it's one I have read.

Merga (2016) advised that students should be aware that their teachers like to read and do so actively when not at school. In order to create this awareness, teachers need to talk about reading as a pleasurable activity whenever possible. In this case, the reading display provided the necessary point of departure for sharing the important place of reading in my life. Whenever I finished the book identified as "Right Now," moved it under the "Finished" label, and added a new book under the "Next" label, I was careful to draw their attention to the fact through an intentional talk.

The students' attention to the display was evident. Kate told me one afternoon, "You forgot to change the book you are reading." Wanting to build on this moment, I walked with her to the display and pointed out that the book positioned under the "Right Now" label had numerous chapters. I explained, "This is a longer book, and it will take more time to finish. You will see it move as soon as I finish it." Kate examined the width of the book and then placed it back on the ledge. "That makes sense," she said. "It might take forever to finish!" I laughed and shared with her that it was my hope to finish the book over the weekend. In this case, the visual reading display provided a context in which my students could feel comfortable discussing my reading life, which became noticeable and familiar to them.

*NEXT BOOK.* In the same manner, as the display kept my students alerted to the time that I spent reading, they began to adopt the practice of choosing a book to read next. The example provided by the display was especially powerful for students who had no similar experience with books that could inform their future reading. Thus, the sequence of books became the basis for a classroom routine, with students self-selecting books to sustain their independent reading during our reading workshop. At one point, however, one student, Jean, revealed that she was stuck and did not know how to be thoughtful in making her next selection. Noting that her book bin was sparse, I chose to use the reading display as support for my inquiry into her plans.



**Teacher:** It looks like you are almost finished with the book you're reading. Do you know what you're going to read next?

**Jean:** No, I'm afraid I'll get distracted by the books that I have waiting for me and not finish what I'm reading. I'll wait to pick out my next book because I don't know what else to read.

**Teacher:** Sometimes the book that I'm going to read next is in a series, and it makes me happy to know the story will continue. When I read books in a series, I can't wait to see what will happen next. It really makes me happy to know that the story will continue.

**Jean:** I think I have a book picked out to read next, but it gets harder when you don't know what you're going to read next. You might have to read something you don't want to read because you don't know. But you can read the book you just finished again while you wait to pick out another one.

As McCarthey and Moje (2002) explained, readers come to understand themselves by engaging in literacy. Thus, through the reading display, Jean was able to reflect on her apprehension regarding the choice of her next book. I took up the subject again with Jean the next day by asking whether she had, in fact, selected her next book. She said that she had: "I picked this book because of the front of the book. I think I will like it, but I am not sure if I will like it." Taking the opportunity to establish common ground with my student, I shared a recent struggle that I had had with planning my reading. One evening, I told Jean, I was discussing the book that I was currently reading with friends, and one of them, a fellow lover of books, recommended a book. Though this was not a book that I would normally pick up, I began reading it with high expectations based on the recommendation; after several chapters, though, I was still unable to appreciate the author's writing style. As I shared this story, Jean leaned in and hung on my every word, anxiously wanting to know what I did next. I shared with her that I had put the book down and still had not finished it. Her eyes grew wide with surprise. By being honest and sharing a real struggle, I had captured her attention in a genuine way and been able to make a teaching point. In this moment, as Jean was beginning to know me as a reader, I was growing as well by getting a better sense of her reading life.

**BOOK RECOMMENDATIONS.** According to Commeyras et al. (2003), in order to become engaged readers, students must see others enjoying the experience of reading. In an effort to adjust my practice as it related to sharing my life as a reader, I hypothesized that, once the reading display had become an established practice, students would begin sharing their own reading lives with one another. To my satisfaction, they did indeed start thinking about the plans of their peers.



Thus Andy, having just finished a book on the solar system, excitedly announced to me, “I know Rey would love this book. She likes books about planets, and I think she would like to read this next.” Honored that someone had thought about her reading preferences enough to offer her a suggestion, Rey accepted the book from Andy without hesitation, telling him, “I can’t wait to read this book. Thanks!” The other students, having witnessed this exchange, were intrigued and asked to borrow the book so that they could learn and take part in the conversation and discover what others found so interesting. In the words of Commeyras and colleagues (2003), “There’s something really special about a friend of yours giving you a book and telling you they can’t wait for you to read it so you can talk about it” (p. 14).

As was revealed in my discussion with Jean, I too have a social life that revolves around reading and includes friends who are always ready to offer a recommendation. These are the readers whom I look to when my list of books needs to be refreshed. Reading is a fundamental part of my relationships with these friends, and our conversations always leave me wanting to explore texts that I otherwise would not have considered. I want my students likewise to experience reading as a social activity, starting within the context of our classroom community.

Seeking to maintain the momentum created by the exchange reported above, I asked Andy and Rey to share what they had learned together. They eagerly discussed their interest in the solar system and what they had learned from the book. Listening intently, members of the class began raising their hands with questions about the solar system for our newly minted experts. In an instant, our classroom had become alive with conversation, all thanks to a simple book recommendation. This experience corroborates the assertion by Kiuru et al. (2017) that time spent talking to peers with shared interests in reading can foster a sense of belonging and reinforce students’ thoughts, values, and identities as readers.

## NEXT STEPS

As a reader, then, I was able to share with my students both the nature of my reading life and what being a lifelong reader means to me. A small but meaningful change in my practice had a significant impact on my classroom, as I was further motivated to read outside of the classroom in order to maintain students’ interest in the reading display. In doing so, I had to prioritize my recreational reading to strengthen the instructional aesthetic stance that, I found, positively impacted my literacy instruction. Further, in sharing my reading life, I carved out classroom time for the discussion of students’ reading lives in a new way. Refusing to allow perceived priorities to hinder my growth as an educator, I took control over this portion of my day by examining what was stealing those precious moments away.



Moving forward, I plan to introduce the reading display at the beginning of the year and to encourage students to set goals as readers based on this model. As I continue modeling my own reading life openly, I will also search for additional ways to learn about each of my students as readers and ways in which I can assist them on their personal journeys. Simply put, it is not enough for teachers to read recreationally and tell their students that they enjoy reading; they need to make their reading life experiences visible. In implementing the reading display, I have had the opportunity to witness the influence that sharing my reading life and the value that I place on it can have on my students. This, I think, is the kind of growth that Lassonde, Stearns, and Dengler (2005) had in mind when they called on professionals like me to be “teachers who read and readers who teach” (p. 43).

## REFERENCES

- Applegate, A. J., & Applegate, M. D. (2004). The Peter effect: Reading habits and attitudes of preservice teachers. *The Reading Teacher*, 57(6), 554-563.
- Boehm, S. (2019, February 13). For the love of reading: Developing a teacher reader identity. Retrieved from <http://www2.ncte.org/blog/2019/02/teacher-reader-identity/>
- Brooks, G. (2007). Teachers as readers and writers and as teachers of reading and writing. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 100(3), 177-191.
- Commeyras, M., Bisplinghoff, B. S., & Olson, J. (2003). *Teachers as readers: Perspectives on the importance of reading in teachers' classrooms and lives*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Cremin, T., Mottram, M., Collins, F., Powell, S., & Safford, K. (2009) Teachers as readers: Building communities of readers. *Literacy*, 43(1) 11-19.
- Fountas, I., & Pinnell, G. (2001) *Guiding readers and writers*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Kiuru, N., Delay, D., Laursen, B., Burk, W. J., Lerkkanen, M., Poikkeus, A., & Nurmi, J. (2017). Peer selection and influence on children's reading skills in early primary grades: A social network approach. *Reading and Writing*, 30(7), 1473-1500.
- Lassonde, C., Stearns, K., & Dengler, K. (2005). What are you reading in book groups? Developing reading lives in teacher candidates. *Action in Teacher Education*, 27(2), 43-53.
- McCarthy, S., & Moje, E. (2002). Identity matters. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 37(2), 228-238.
- McCracken, R. A., & McCracken, M. J. (1978) Modeling is the key to sustained silent reading, *The Reader Teacher*, 31(4), 406-408.
- Merga, M. K. (2016), “I don't know if she likes reading”: Are teachers perceived to be keen readers, and how is this determined? *English in Education*, 50(3) 255-269.
- Miller, D. (2009). *The book whisperer: Awakening the inner reader in every child*. New York, NY: Scholastic.





Rosenblatt, L. (1978). *The reader, the text, the poem: The transactional theory of literary*

*work*. Carbondale, IL: Southern Illinois University Press.

## LITERATURE CITED

Applegate, K. (2017). *Wishtree*. Faber and Faber.

Rosenthal, A. K. (2009). *Spoon*. Scholastic.

Birney, B. G. (2004). *The World According to Humphrey*. Penguin Group USA.

Rosenthal, A. K. (2012). *Chopsticks: Not exactly a sequel to Spoon, more like a change in place setting*. New York: Disney/Hyperion Books.

Reynolds, S. (2006). *The first marathon: The legend of Pheidippides*. CNIB.

## ABOUT THE AUTHOR



**Marie Putnam Havran**, EdD, is an elementary literacy specialist in Greenville, South Carolina, and an adjunct professor at Furman University. Marie earned her bachelor's degree at Indiana State University, her master's at Furman University, and her doctorate in Curriculum and Instruction at The University of South Carolina. Her research and interests are focused on issues relating to adolescent literacy education, instructional approaches, and critical literacy practices. Her email address is [havranmarie@gmail.com](mailto:havranmarie@gmail.com).



# CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

The *Texas Journal of Literacy Education* is the official journal of the Texas Association for Literacy Education (TALE), the Texas affiliate of the International Literacy Association. TJLE is a peer-reviewed journal published twice each year, in the summer and winter. We seek original research and practitioner articles related to language and literacy practices, from early childhood through adult, inside and outside of the classroom. We welcome all voices from literacy researchers, classroom teachers, and graduate students. We are happy to answer questions about potential submissions. Please email us at [tjlejournal@gmail.com](mailto:tjlejournal@gmail.com).

The latest issue's acceptance rate was approximately 15%. The blind review and editorial decision process typically take about 8-12 weeks. Submit your manuscripts [online here](#).

## SUBMISSION GUIDELINES

Please prepare two files: a blinded manuscript and a cover letter.

Blinded manuscripts must:


- include the title of the work;
- follow APA (7th edition) formatting guidelines;
- be between 2,000 and 6,000 words, not including references;
- include an abstract of 250 words or less (research submissions);
- have all references to author(s) removed for blind review;
- tables and figures should be embedded in the manuscript.

Cover letter should include:

- the title of your submission;
- the name and affiliation (as you would have them published) and email of the first author;
- the name, affiliation, contact information, a photograph of each author, and a 100-200 word bio for all co-authors, listed in preferred order.


TJLE is proud to be an open access journal. All copyright remains with the author/s.

*Texas Journal of Literacy Education* is the official journal of the Texas Association for Literacy Education (TALE), the Texas affiliate of the International Literacy Association.





# CALL FOR REVIEWERS



The *Texas Journal of Literacy Education* needs your help. Please consider contributing to the quality of TJLE by serving as a peer reviewer. Responsibilities include reviewing approximating 1-3 manuscripts per year in a timely manner, usually within 4-6 weeks, with possible second reviews for manuscripts resubmitted for a second round of review.

Serving as a peer reviewer can help you better understand the manuscript publication process from the inside out, helping you with your own writing goals. Considered important service to the field, is a great way to become involved in TALE, and is truly what strengthens the research community.

If you are interested in joining the Editorial Board as a peer reviewer, please email us at [tjlejournal@gmail.com](mailto:tjlejournal@gmail.com) with a current CV or resume attached. University faculty, graduate students, and K-12 educators are all invited.